



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/059,627	01/29/2002	Yawei Ni	CARR-0084(103216.00252	5288
7590 12/06/2004		EXAMINER		
T. Ling Chwang			MELLER, MICHAEL V	
Suite 600 2435 N. Central Expressway			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Richardson, TX 75080			1654	
			DATE MAILED: 12/06/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action 10/059,627 NI ET Examiner Art U Michael V. Meller 1654

NI ET AL.	
Art Unit	
1654	

Applicant(s)

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Application No.

There final recondit	REPLY FILED 15 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
b) [2	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
fee hav fee und (2) as s	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension e been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or let forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if liled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in
	37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a	) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b	)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(с	) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d	) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.🛛	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
•	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
•	Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,5,7,13-15,17 and 23-25</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2, 4, 6, 8-12, 16, 18-22, 26-77.
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
10.	Other:

Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1654 Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons of record. Applicant argues that the protease will degrade the growth factor but that is not necessarily true. Enzymes are very specific and this protease claimed may not necessarily "digest and destroy" the growth factor. Not all proteases act on all proteins, i.e. the growth factor.